Methodology and North American overview

**Megaprojects Defined:**

There is no one universal definition of a megaproject. FMI, using a threshold of $1 Billion or more, identified historical and planned engineering megaprojects across multiple sources and databases. Large, long-term capital plans were excluded from this analysis.

_Megaprojects are widely defined as large-scale, complex ventures that typically cost $1 billion or more and may take years to develop and build, involve multiple public and private stakeholders, are transformational and impact millions of people (Flyvbjerg, 2014)._  

**Megaproject Segmentation:**

**Commercial and Mixed Use** – Includes projects predominantly within lodging, office, retail, warehouse and distribution, amusement and recreation, and communication segments. Also includes residential single-family and multifamily mixed-use developments.

**Institutional** – Includes projects predominantly within health care, educational, religious or public safety segments.

**Transportation** – Includes nonresidential building projects for airports, railways (including both transit and freight rail) and/or ports (including both waterway or inland ports).

**Industrial** – Includes all manufacturing and industrial projects.

**Infrastructure** – Includes all nonresidential structures involving power, highway and street, sewage and waste disposal, water supply, and conservation and development investment.

**Study Map:**


FMI’s North American megaproject database includes just over 1,250 projects valued at $1 billion or more, or more than $3.4 trillion in total engineering and construction investment. Approximately 71% of this identified opportunity ($2.4 trillion) is for megaprojects still in planning and design stages.

**Past and underway megaprojects**  
North America, 2007-2019 $ millions  
~$1 Trillion

**Megaprojects in planning and design**  
North America, 2019-2050 $ millions  
~$2.4 Trillion

- **Canada** 27%  
- **U.S.** 73%

- **Canada** 23%  
- **U.S.** 77%

*Source: FMI, Building permits, Reed, Dodge*
Megaproject trends and drivers

The world needs to spend an estimated $57 trillion on infrastructure by 2030 to enable the anticipated levels of GDP growth. Two-thirds of spending will be required in developing countries to support rising middle classes, population growth, urbanization and increased economic growth.

Historical megaproject performance

- Nine out of 10 megaprojects go over budget
- Time overruns are a perennial problem
- Rail megaprojects go over budget on average 44.7%, and demand is overestimated by 51.4%
- Bridge and tunnel megaprojects on average incur 35% cost overruns.
- Road megaprojects on average incur 20% cost overruns.

Reasons megaprojects fail:

- Overoptimism and overcomplexity
- Poor execution
- Low productivity
- Weakness in organizational design and capabilities
- Lack of resources

Known solutions to megaproject challenges:

- Stakeholder alignment and confirmation of justification
- Resource and expertise acquisition
- Upfront planning (3% to 5% of capital costs on early stage engineering and design)
- Oversight rigor across benefits, goals, schedules and costs

Megaprojects are economically transformative. The world needs megaprojects to deliver economic growth and, theoretically, they pay for themselves. Getting them right, or at least making improvements, is win-win. Other lasting benefits span innovation and technological advancements, co-leveraging resources across various public and private organizations, sustainability and ultimately inspiration toward future achievements.

Planned start dates on megaprojects are difficult moving targets, given their inherent complexities and typical opposition. Likewise, in the event of widespread national or international economic slowdown, FMI expects planned megaprojects will be among the first group of projects to be redrawn, shelved or abandoned (depending on associated stakeholders and motives).
U.S. megaproject highlights

The average U.S. megaproject size is expected to increase 37%, from $2.1 billion to $2.9 billion, comparing awarded/historical projects between 2012 and 2018 with those in the forecast period between 2019 and 2023.

- Since 2012, 320 U.S. megaprojects have been awarded, representing $718 billion in investment.
- FMI identified 674 U.S. megaprojects in planning, representing $2 trillion in investment.

The annual value of megaproject starts in the U.S. has increased from 3% of total U.S. starts in 2013 to approximately 33% of total U.S. starts in 2018. Growth in historical megaproject spending has been led by industrial and infrastructure investments.

U.S. planned projects show an increasing share of spending into western states and into infrastructure and transportation.

- The South and the West Census regions are expected to represent the majority of planned megaproject spending across the U.S. at 37% and 30% of total, respectively.
- The top three states across the U.S. for planned megaproject spend represent 40% of the national total. These states include New York (15%), California (15%) and Texas (10%).

Annual megaproject construction put in place (CPiP) is expected to increase ~460% over the next five years, growing from $53 billion to just over $295 billion. Over the next decade, annual megaproject spending is expected to increase nearly 600%, reaching just over $350 billion.

Largest Five Megaprojects, Past and Planned

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Past Projects, 2007-2019 ($B)</th>
<th>Planned Projects, 2019-2050 ($B)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plant Vogtle Nuclear Reactor Expansion, Georgia (2010)</td>
<td>Westchester to Long Island Tunnel, New York (~2023)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corpus Christi LNG Export Terminal, Texas (2015)</td>
<td>FP&amp;L Turkey Point Reactors, Florida (~2023)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: FMI, Building permits, Reed, Dodge
The annual value of megaproject starts in the U.S. has increased from 3% of total U.S. starts in 2013 to approximately 33% of total U.S. starts in 2018.

The average U.S. megaproject size is expected to increase 37%, from $2.1 billion to $2.9 billion, comparing awarded/historical projects between 2012 and 2018 with those in the forecast period between 2019 and 2023.

- Since 2012, 320 U.S. megaprojects have been awarded, representing $718 billion in investment.
- FMI identified 674 U.S. megaprojects in planning, representing $2 trillion in investment.
Growth in historical megaproject spending has been led by industrial and infrastructure investments.

U.S. industrial and infrastructure segments have increasingly embraced megaprojects as a means for expansion. Megaproject starts in 2018 represented the majority share, or 61% of dollars, of all projects started across those segments.

Summary of total U.S. construction starts by segment (Billions, 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Starts Value ($B)</th>
<th>Starts Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$17</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$18</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$19</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$60</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$76</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$149</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$264</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: FMI, Building permits, Reed, Dodge
Consistent with the trend seen prior, historical growth in large-project spending between $500M and $1B has also been led by industrial and infrastructure investments. However, at these size ranges, we are also finding that commercial and residential projects are consistently and more frequently becoming larger as well as increasingly complex.
U.S. planned projects show an increasing share of spending in western states and in infrastructure and transportation.

Past and underway projects
U.S., 2012-2018 $ millions

- Infrastructure: 38%
- Commercial and Mixed Use: 36%
- Industrial: 20%
- Transportation: 10%
- Institutional: 2%
- Northeast: 22%
- South: 42%
- West: 24%
- Midwest: 12%

Upcoming projects in planning and design
U.S., 2019-2050 $ millions

- Infrastructure: 38%
- Commercial and Mixed Use: 28%
- Industrial: 12%
- Transportation: 19%
- Institutional: 2%
- Northeast: 23%
- South: 37%
- West: 30%
- Midwest: 9%

Source: FMI, Building permits, Reed, Dodge
U.S. planned megaprojects by region

The South and the West Census regions are expected to represent the majority of planned megaproject spending across the U.S. at 37% and 30% of total, respectively.

- Across the South significant states (by spend) include Texas (28%), Louisiana (17%) and Florida (16%). Across the West significant states include California (48%), Alaska (9%) and Nevada (8%).
- The top three states across the U.S. for planned megaproject spend represent 40% of the national total. These states include New York (15%), California (15%) and Texas (10%).
U.S. megaprojects, historical and planned activity
Construction put in place forecast, 2012-2028

**Highlights**

- The trend in increasing megaproject starts has only begun to appear in annual construction put in place spending.

- Annual megaproject CPiP is expected to increase ~460% over the next five years, growing from $53 billion to just over $295 billion. Over the next decade, annual megaproject spending is expected to increase nearly 600%, reaching just over $350 billion.

- Total annual megaproject spend as a percent of total CPIP is expected to increase from 4.0% in 2018 to just over 20% by 2023 and remain stable between 19% and 20% through 2028.

**Forecast …**

**Key assumptions:**

1) Megaproject adjusted starts (light blue bar) are estimates based on actual projects in planning (i.e., slide 5), including expected delays and likelihood of occurrence, alongside estimates for future projects that have not yet been identified or announced. 
(adjusted forecast starts include $3.6 trillion in project values vs $2 trillion reported)

2) Historical starts are forecast to realize full project value through completion, as reported.

3) Recent shifts within the construction cycle, alongside slowing economic growth between late 2019 and 2021, will reduce short-term and midterm opportunities for commercial and private project and megaproject starts (e.g., mixed use and various P3).

4) Megaproject starts assume an eight-year average life span of construction spending. Estimated distribution of annual spend is illustrated below:

**Source:** FMI, Building permits, Reed, Dodge

---

**Megaproject CPiP spending distribution**

*Distribution assumes an eight-year average project life span

**Historical Megaprojects CPiP as % of Total (2012-2018) = 1.8%**

**Forecast Megaprojects CPiP as % of Total (2019-2028) = 16.7%**
U.S. megaprojects, historical and planned activity
Construction put in place forecast, 2012-2028

Historical Megaprojects CPIP as % of Total (2012-2018) = 1.8%
Forecast Megaprojects CPIP as % of Total (2019-2028) = 16.7%

Source: FMI, Building permits, Reed, Dodge
Canadian Megaproject Highlights

The average Canadian megaproject size is expected to increase 36%, from $2.8 billion to $3.8 billion, comparing awarded/historical projects between 2012 and 2018 with those in the forecast period between 2019 and 2023.

- Since 2012, 95 Canadian megaprojects have been awarded, representing $272 billion in investment.
- FMI identified 153 Canadian megaprojects in planning, representing $550 billion in investment.

The annual value of megaproject starts to CPiP in Canada has steadily increased from 7% in 2012 to just under 17% in 2018 with year-over-year volatility tied to major industrial investments.

Canadian planned megaprojects show an increasing share of spending in western provinces and in industrial and commercial and mixed use segments.

- The West Canada provinces are expected to represent the majority, or 62% of total, of planned megaproject spending.
- Across the West significant provinces (by spend) include Alberta (47%) and British Columbia (41%). Across the East significant provinces include Quebec (40%) and Ontario (30%).
- The top three provinces across Canada for planned megaproject spend represent nearly 70% of the national total. These provinces include Alberta (29%), British Columbia (26%) and Quebec (15%).

Largest Five Megaprojects, Past and Planned

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Past Projects, 2007-2019 ($B)</th>
<th>Planned Projects, 2019-2050 ($B)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cement Factory, Quebec (2015)</td>
<td>Elks River Oil Sands Facility, Alberta (~2021)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Source: FMI, Building permits, Reed, Dodge
The average Canadian megaproject size is expected to increase 36%, from $2.8 billion to $3.8 billion, comparing awarded/historical projects between 2012 and 2018 with those in the forecast period between 2019 and 2023.

- Since 2012, 95 Canadian megaprojects have been awarded, representing $272 billion in investment.
- FMI identified 153 Canadian megaprojects in planning, representing $550 billion in investment.

The annual value of megaproject starts to CPIP in Canada has steadily increased from 7% in 2012 to just under 17% in 2018 with year-over-year volatility tied to major industrial investments.

Average Project Size, Billions

- $5.0 (2012)
- $2.3 (2013)
- $3.6 (2014)
- $3.3 (2015)
- $2.5 (2016)
- $2.9 (2017)
- $2.2 (2018)
- $3.5 (2019)
- $4.4 (2020)
- $4.0 (2021)
- $3.5 (2022)
- $2.9 (2023)
- $3.1 (No Schedule)

Source: FMI, Building permits, FMI, Reed, Dodge
Megaproject segmentation and distribution of spending

Canadian planned megaprojects show an increasing share of spending in western provinces and in industrial and commercial and mixed use segments.

Past and underway projects
Canada, 2012-2019 $ millions

Upcoming projects in planning and design
Canada, 2019-2050 $ millions

Source: FMI, Building permits, FMI, Reed, Dodge
The West Canada provinces are expected to represent the majority, or 62% of total, of planned megaproject spending.

Across the West significant provinces (by spend) include Alberta (47%) and British Columbia (41%). Across the East significant provinces include Quebec (40%) and Ontario (30%).

The top three provinces across Canada for planned megaproject spend represent nearly 70% of the national total. These provinces include Alberta (29%), British Columbia (26%) and Quebec (15%).

Source: FMI, Building permits, Reed, Dodge
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About FMI

FMI is the leading consulting and investment banking firm dedicated exclusively to the built environment.

FMI serves all sectors of the industry as a trusted advisor. More than six decades of context, connections and insights lead to transformational outcomes for our clients and the industry.

**Sector Expertise**

- Architecture, Engineering & Environmental
- Building Products
- Construction Materials
- Contractors
- Energy Service & Equipment
- Energy Solutions & Cleantech
- Specialty Chemicals
- Utility T&D